

REALIZATION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP OF HANDLEBODY BY DIFFEOMORPHISMS

SUSUMU HIROSE

ABSTRACT. For the oriented 3-dimensional handlebody constructed from a 3-ball by attaching g 1-handles, it is shown that the natural surjection from the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of it to the mapping class group of it has no section when g is at least 6.

Let M be an n -dimensional compact oriented manifold and S be a subset of ∂M . We denote the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M whose restrictions on S are identity by $\text{Diff}(M, S)$, the subgroups of them consisting of elements that are isotopic to identity by $\text{Diff}_0(M, S)$, and the quotient group $\text{Diff}(M, S)/\text{Diff}_0(M, S)$ by $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$. For an element f of $\text{Diff}(M, S)$, let $[f]$ be the element of $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$ represented by f . The homomorphism $\pi_{M,S}$ from $\text{Diff}(M, S)$ to $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$ defined by $\pi_{M,S}(h) = [h]$ is a surjection. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(M, S)$. We call a homomorphism s from Γ to $\text{Diff}(M, S)$ which satisfies $\pi_{M,S} \circ s = \text{id}_\Gamma$ a *section* for $\pi_{M,S}$ over Γ . Morita [7] showed that the natural surjection from $\text{Diff}^2(\Sigma_g)$ to the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ of Σ_g has no section over $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ when $g \geq 5$. Markovic [5] (when $g \geq 6$) and Markovic and Saric [6] (when $g \geq 2$) showed that the natural surjection from $\text{Homeo}(\Sigma_g)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ has no section over $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$. By using the different method from them, Franks and Handel [2] showed that the natural surjection from $\text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ has no section over $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ when $g \geq 3$.

Let H_g be an oriented 3-dimensional handlebody of genus g , which is an oriented 3-manifold constructed from a 3-ball by attaching g 1-handles. Let Σ_g be an oriented closed surface of genus g , then $\partial H_g = \Sigma_g$. The restriction to the boundary defines a homomorphism $\rho_\partial : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g)$, and ρ_∂ induces an injection $\mathcal{M}(H_g) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ since H_g is an irreducible 3-manifold. We will show:

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M60, 57N10.

This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 20540083), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Theorem 1. *If $g \geq 6$, there is no section for $\pi_{H_g} : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$ over $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$.*

For contradiction, we assume that there is a section $s : \mathcal{M}(H_g) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(H_g)$. Let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$, and i_Γ be the inclusion from Γ to $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$. Then Γ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$, and the composition $\rho_\partial \circ s \circ i_\Gamma$ is a section for $\pi_{\Sigma_g} : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ over Γ . Therefore, if we can find a subgroup Γ of $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$, over which there is no section for π_{Σ_g} , then Theorem 1 follows.

Let D be a 2-disk in Σ_g , and $\Sigma_{g,1}$ be $\Sigma_g \setminus \text{int } D$. Let c be an essential simple closed curve on Σ_g such that $\Sigma_g \setminus c$ is not connected, then the closure of one component of $\Sigma_g \setminus c$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{g_1,1}$ and the closure of the other component of $\Sigma_g \setminus c$ is diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_{g_2,1}$. We remark that $g = g_1 + g_2$ and $g_1, g_2 \geq 1$. These diffeomorphisms induce injections $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ (see [8]). By these injections, we consider $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1})$ as subgroups of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$. From Theorem 1.6 in [2] proved by Franks and Handel, we see:

Theorem 2. [2] *Let Γ_1 be a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_1,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_1,1})$ such that $H^1(\Gamma_1, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, and μ be an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{g_2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{g_2,1})$ which is represented by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on $\text{int } \Sigma_{g_2,1}$. Then there is no section for $\pi_{\Sigma_g} : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ over $\langle \Gamma_1, \mu \rangle$.*

We assume $g \geq 6$. The 3-manifold $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$ is diffeomorphic to H_4 . Let D_1 be a 2-disk in $\text{int } \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1] \subset \partial(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$, D_2 and D_3 be disjoint 2-disks on ∂H_{g-6} , and D_4 be 2-disk on ∂H_2 . Along these 2-disks, we glue $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$, H_{g-6} and H_2 such that $D_1 = D_2$, $D_3 = D_4$, then the 3-manifold obtained as a result is diffeomorphic to H_g . By the above construction, we get two natural inclusions $\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1] \hookrightarrow H_g$ and $H_2 \hookrightarrow H_g$. These inclusions induce natural homomorphisms $i_1 : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$ and $i_2 : \mathcal{M}(H_2, D_4) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$. If $[h]$ is in $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(H_2, D_4)$) represented by $h \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$ (resp. $\text{Diff}(H_2, D_4)$), then $i_1([h])$ (resp. $i_2([h])$) is represented by extending h to H_g using the identity mapping on $H_g \setminus \Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$ (resp. $H_g \setminus H_2$).

We define homomorphisms $\Pi : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1])$ by $\Pi(h) = h \times \text{id}_{[0,1]}$, and $I_1 : \text{Diff}(\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1], \partial\Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(H_g)$ by the identity on $H_g \setminus \Sigma_{2,1} \times [0, 1]$, then the composition $I_1 \circ \Pi$ induces an injection $P : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{M}(H_g)$. By applying Corollary 4.2 of [8] to the subsurface $\Sigma_{2,1} \times \{0, 1\} \subset \partial H_g$, the injectivity of P is shown. Korkmaz [4] showed that $H_1(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$, hence $H^1(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}), \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Therefore, $\Gamma_1 = P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}))$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2 when $g_1 = g - 2$, $g_2 = 2$.

Fathi and Laudenbach [3] constructed a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism ϕ on $\partial(H_2)$ which is a restriction of a homeomorphism on H_2 . Definition of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms and terminologies (e.g., singular foliation) related to them can be found in [1]. Any pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism preserves the set of singular points of the singular foliation which is preserved by this homeomorphism. Since the number of singular points of singular foliation is finite, a proper power of ϕ , say ϕ^n , fixes some points. Let p be a point fixed by ϕ^n , then ϕ^n defines a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on $\partial(H_2) \setminus p = \text{int } \Sigma_{2,1}$. Let μ be an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$ represented by this homomorphism, then μ is an element of $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ and satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2 when $g_1 = g - 2$, $g_2 = 2$.

Then $\langle P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1})), \mu \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$ and, by Theorem 2, there is no section $\langle P(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{2,1}, \partial\Sigma_{2,1})), \mu \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g)$. Therefore, there is no section for $\pi_{H_g} : \text{Diff}(H_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H_g)$ over $\mathcal{M}(H_g)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] **A. J. Casson, S. A. Bleiler**, *Automorphisms of surfaces after Nielsen and Thurston*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 9. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [2] **J. Franks, M. Handel**, *Global fixed points for centralizers and Morita's Theorem*, Geometry and Topology 13, (2009), 87–98.
- [3] **A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach**, *Difféomorphismes pseudo-Anosov et décomposition de Heegaard*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 291, Série A, (1980), 423B5.
- [4] **M. Korkmaz**, *Low-dimensional homology groups of mapping class groups: a survey*, Turkish J. Math. 26, (2002), 101–114.
- [5] **V. Markovic**, *Realization of the mapping class group by homeomorphisms*, Invent. Math. 168, (2007), 523–566.
- [6] **V. Markovic, D. Saric**, *The mapping class group cannot be realized by homeomorphisms*, preprint (arXiv:0807.0182)
- [7] **S. Morita**, *Characteristic classes of surface bundles*, Invent. Math. 90, (1987), 551–577.
- [8] **L. Paris, D. Rolfsen**, *Geometric subgroups of mapping class groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 521, (2000), 47–83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, SAGA UNIVERSITY,
SAGA, 840–8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: hirose@ms.saga-u.ac.jp